RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUL 3 1 2007

Robert V. Wilder, Attorney at Law 4235 Kingsburg Drive Round Rock, Texas 78681



To:

Commissioner For Patents

Fax Number:

15712738300

From:

Robert V. Wilder, Attorney at Law

Fax Number:

512-246-9197

Business Phone: 512-246-8555

Home Phone:

Pages:

25

Date/Time:

7/31/2007 11:10:08 AM

Subject:

Patent Application 09/970,655; Attorney Docket AUS920010938US1

Sir:

Attached is an Appeal Brief in support of the pending appeal in the subject patent application.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert V. Wilder Reg. No. 26,352 Attorney for Applicant

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUL 3 1 2007

·	ATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATE	ENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES
	A#
	Attorney Docket No. AUS920010938US1
IN RE APPLICATION OF:	§
	\$ \$
Viktors Berstis	S Examiner: Chuck O. Kenda
Serial No. 09/970,655	§ § Art Unit: 2192
Serial No. 03/3/0,033	\$
Filed: October 4, 2001	§
	S
For: Extracting Information	§
From Software	§
APP	EAL BRIEF
Commissioner for Patents	
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-145	50
michanaria, virginia 22010-140	
Sir:	
This Brief is submitted in our	pport of the Appeal in the above
	sport of the Appeal in the above
identified application.	
	·
· ·	CATE OF MAILING
3	7 CFR 1.8(a)
	nsmitted by fax to Group Fax Number 571-273-8300, addressed to 150, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450", on the date set forth below:
Tronorable Commissioner For Faterits, PO Box 14	്യം, ഹര്മ്മാവൻ, Virginia 223 15-1450 , Offithe date set form below:
July 31, 2007	Robert V. Wilder
	Robert V. Wilder
Date	Signature
	-
APF	PEAL BRIEF
	AGE 1 OF 24
~	
	<i>lumber 09/970,655</i> et No. AUS920010938US1

4 4	TABLE OF CONTENTS
45	
4·6 4·7	REAL PARTY IN INTEREST
48 49	RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES
50 51	STATUS OF CLAIMS
52 53	STATUS OF AMENDMENTS
54 55	SUMMARY OF THE CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER
56 57	GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL
58 59	ARGUMENT 11
60	I. With regard to the rejection of claims 1-24 under 35 USC
61	103(a) as being unpatentable over Price in view of Brody, it is
62	respectfully submitted that there is no suggestion in either
63	reference for the proposed combination and even the proposed
64	combination cannot render the present invention obvious since
65	even the hypothetical combination of references fails to suggest,
66	and even teaches away from, several of the recited features of
67	the noted claims
68	
69	
70	CONCLUSION 16
71	
72 73	CLAIMS APPENDIX
74 75	EVIDENCE APPENDIX
76	RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX 24

APPEAL BRIEF PAGE 2 OF 24

78 79	REAL PARTY IN INTEREST
80 80	The present application is assigned to International Business
81	Machines Corporation, the real party in interest.
82	
83	
84	RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES
85	
86	There are no related Appeals or Interferences currently pending.
87	
88	
89	STATUS OF THE CLAIMS
90	
91	Claims 1-24 are pending and stand finally rejected by the
92	Examiner as noted in the Final Office Action mailed April 9,
93	2007. The rejection of claims 1-24 is hereby being appealed.
94	
95	
96	STATUS OF AMENDMENTS
97	•
98	No Amendments have been filed subsequent to the Final Rejection
99	which was mailed on 4/9/07.
100	
101	
102	SUMMARY OF THE CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER
103	
103	The subject patent application includes independent element 1 16
	The subject patent application includes independent claims 1, 16
105	and 24, and the remaining claims ultimately depend from and

APPEAL BRIEF
PAGE 3 OF 24

- 106 include all of the limitations of one of the independent claims.
- 107 Claim 1 recites a method embodying the present invention, claim
- 108 16 recites a medium embodying the present invention and claim 24
- 109 recites a network embodying the present invention. A concise
- 110 explanation of the claimed subject matter is defined in each of
- 111 the independent claims 1, 16 and 24, which, along with exemplary
- 112 specification and drawing references, is set forth below.

113

- 114 1. A method for extracting identification information from a
- 115 software package (e.g., inter alia, Figure 5 and Page 11, line
- 116 22, to page 12, line 23), said software package including a
- 117 number of executable software modules (Figure 6, Program Modules
- 118 601, page 12 line 25 to page 13, line 26 and 814 Figure 8)
- 119 organized in a manner (e.g., inter alia, Linked Program Modules
- 120 603, Figure 6) determined by said identification information
- 121 (e.g., inter alia, Figure 5), said method comprising:

122

- 123 determining an organization of said executable software modules
- 124 within said software package (e.g., inter alia, 811, 813 and 814
- 125 Figure 8); and

126

- 127 extracting (e.g., inter alia, 815 Figure 8) said identification
- 128 information (e.g., inter alia, Figure 5 and 605 Figure 6) from
- 129 said organization of said executable software modules (e.g.,
- 130 inter alia, 603 Figure 6) within said software package, said
- 131 organization comprising a sequence in which components of said
- 132 executable software modules are linked (e.g., inter alia, page
- 133 10, lines 9-22; Figure 6; Figure 7, #715; #814, Figure 8).

APPEAL BRIEF PAGE 4 OF 24

134 135 To the combination set forth in claim 1, claim 2 adds the 136 recitation that the "executable modules are coupled together (e.g., inter alia, 603 Figure 6) in a manner representative of 137 138 said identification information (e.g., inter alia, Figure 5 and 139 605 Figure 6). 140 141 To the combination set forth in claim 2, claim 3 adds the 142 recitation that said executable software modules are coupled together by compiling (e.g., inter alia, p9, 127 et seq., p10, 143 144 127 & 32 et seq., & p11, 17 et seq.) said software modules into 145 an executable form of said software package. 146 147 To the combination set forth in claim 2, claim 4 adds the 148 recitation that said executable software modules are coupled 149 together by linking (e.g., inter alia, Abstract, line 15; p9, 150 line 27, 31; p10, line 32; p11, 7; p12, lines 7, 19 and 22 et 151 seq.) said executable software modules into an executable form of 152 said software package. 153. 154 To the combination set forth in claim 1, claim 5 adds the 155 recitations of analyzing said software package to determine an 156 organizational relationship among said executable software 157 modules; and determining a binary series (e.g., inter alia, Abstract lines 11-13; p3, line 16 et seq.; p11, line 16 et seq.; 158 p11, line 31 et seq.) from said organizational relationship of 159 said executable software modules. 160 161

> APPEAL BRIEF PAGE 5 OF 24

To the combination set forth in claim 1, claim 6 adds the recitation of transmitting said software package over a network 163 (e.g., inter alia, Abstract line 16 et seq.) to a requesting 164 165 terminal, said requesting terminal being enabled to extract said 166 identification information from said organization of said 167 executable software modules of said software package. 168 To the combination set forth in claim 6, claim 7 adds the 169 170 recitation that said software package is transmitted from a user 171 terminal over an Internet network (e.g., inter alia, p2, lines 172 15, 29; Figure 4, 405) to a server (e.g. inter alia, Figure 4, 407). 173 174 175 To the combination set forth in claim 6, claim 8 adds the recitation that said user terminal is a wireless device (e.g., 176 177 inter alia, p5, line 22 et seq.). 178 179 To the combination set forth in claim 6, claim 9 adds the recitation that said user terminal is a personal computer system 180 181 (e.g., inter alia, p5, line 22 et seq.). 182 To the combination set forth in claim 1, claim 10 adds the 183 184 recitation that said identification information includes an 185 identification of a user (e.g., inter alia, p13, line 21 et seq.) 186 of said software package. 187 188 To the combination set forth in claim 1, claim 11 adds the 189 recitation that said identification information includes an

162

APPEAL BRIEF PAGE 6 OF 24

191 related to said software package. 192 193 To the combination set forth in claim 11, claim 12 adds the 194 recitation that said identification information further includes 195 an identification of a user (e.g., inter alia, p13, line 20 et 196 seq.) of said software package. 197 198 To the combination set forth in claim 1, claim 13 adds the 199 recitation that said executable software modules are organized in 200 a series of sets (e.g., inter alia, p13, line 27 et seq.) of executable software modules, each of said sets comprising a 201 202 predetermined number of executable software modules. 203 204 To the combination set forth in claim 13, claim 14 adds the 205 recitation that said series of sets corresponds to a binary 206 series, (e.g., inter alia, Abstract lines 11-13; p3, line 16 et 207 seq.; p11, line 16 et seq.; p11, line 31 et seq.) and each of 208 said sets comprises first and second executable software modules, 209 said binary series being determined in accordance with a sequence 210 of said first and second executable software modules within said 211 sets of said executable software modules. 212 213 To the combination set forth in claim 13, claim 15 adds the 214 recitation that said series of sets is organized in other than a

identifying number (e.g., inter alia, p13, line 21 et seq.)

190

215

216217

APPEAL BRIEF PAGE 7 OF 24

binary format (e.g., inter alia, p9, line 1 et seg.; p11, line 24

executable software modules other than two, said identification

et seq.), each of said sets comprising a number of said

- 218 information being determined according to an order in which said
- 219 number of executable software modules are sequenced within said
- 220 sets of executable software modules.

221

- 222 The drawing and specification references of independent claim 16
- 223 correspond to the similar elements as identified above for
- 224 independent claim 1.

225

- 226 16. A medium including machine readable coded indicia, said
- 227 machine readable coded indicia being selectively operable in
- 228 combination with a processing circuit for extracting embedded
- 229 identification information from a software package (e.g., inter
- 230 alia, Figure 5 and Page 11, line 22, to page 12, line 23), by
- 231 determining an organization of executable software modules
- 232 (Figure 6, Program Modules 601, page 12 line 25 to page 13, line
- 233 26 and 814 Figure 8) within said software package, said
- 234 organization comprising a sequence in which components of said
- 235 executable software modules are linked (e.g., inter alia, page
- 236 10, lines 9-22; Figure 6; Figure 7, #715; #814, Figure 8),
- 237 wherein relationships between said executable software modules
- 238 (e.g., inter alia, Linked Program Modules 603, Figure 6) are
- 239 representative of said identification information (e.g., inter
- 240 alia, Figure 5), embedded within said software package.

241

- 242 To the combination set forth in claim 16, claim 17 adds the
- 243 recitation that said medium is an optically encoded disk (e.g.,
- 244 inter alia, 222 Figure 2).

245

APPEAL BRIEF PAGE 8 OF 24

246 To the combination set forth in claim 16, claim 18 adds the 247 recitation that said medium is a magnetically encoded magnetic diskette (e.g., inter alia, 219 Figure 2). 248 249 250 To the combination set forth in claim 16, claim 19 adds the recitation that said software package resides on a storage device 251 (e.g., inter alia, 218 Figure 2) within a computer device. 252 253 254 To the combination set forth in claim 16, claim 20 adds the 255 recitation that the software package resides on a memory device 256 (e.g., inter alia, 207 Figure 2) within a computer device. 257 258 To the combination set forth in claim 16, claim 21 adds the 259 recitation that said embedded identification information includes 260 an identification of a user (e.g., inter alia, p13, line 20 et 261 seq.) of said software package. 262 263 To the combination set forth in claim 16, claim 22 adds the 264 recitation that said embedded identification information includes an identifying number (e.g., inter alia, p13, line 21 et seq.) 265 related to said software package. 266 267 To the combination set forth in claim 22, claim 23 adds the 268 recitation that said embedded identification information further 269 270 includes an identification of a user (e.g., inter alia, p13, line

> APPEAL BRIEF PAGE 9 OF 24

The drawing and specification references of independent claim 24

Serial Number 09/970,655
Attorney Docket No. AUS920010938US1

20 et seq.) of said software package.

271

272273

correspond to the similar elements as identified above for 274 independent claims 1 and 16. 275 276 277 24. A network arranged to enable extracting of organizational 278 information of an organization of executable software modules (Figure 6, Program Modules 601, page 12 line 25 to page 13, line 279 26 and 814 Figure 8) within a software package (e.g., inter alia, 280 Figure 5 and Page 11, line 22, to page 12, line 23), at a user 281 282 terminal and transferring said organizational information to a 283 server for use in deriving identification information embedded 284 within said organizational information, said network comprising: 285 286 a user terminal (e.g., inter alia, 401, Figure 4) at which said 287 software package resides; 288 289 a server (e.g., inter alia, 407, Figure 4); and 290 291 an interconnection (e.g., inter alia, 403 and 405, Figure 4) between said server and said user terminal, said user terminal 292 being responsive to a request to upload said organizational 293 information of said software package for determining said 294 295 organizational information and transferring said organizational information to said server (e.g., inter alia, 811 and 813 Figure 296 8), said organizational information comprising a sequence in 297 which components of said executable software modules are linked 298 299 (e.g., inter alia, page 10, lines 9-22; Figure 6; Figure 7, #715; 300 #814, Figure 8). 301

> APPEAL BRIEF PAGE 10 OF 24

302 GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL 303 304 I. Claims 1-24 were rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Price (U.S. Patent 6,738,932 B1) in view of 305 306 Brody (US 2001/0051928 A1). 307 308 ARGUMENT 309 I. With regard to the rejection of claims 1-24 under 35 USC 310 311 103(a) as being unpatentable over Price in view of Brody, it is 312 respectfully submitted that there is no suggestion in either 313 reference for the proposed combination and even the proposed combination cannot render the present invention obvious since 314 315 even the hypothetical combination of references fails to suggest, 316 and even teaches away from, several of the recited features of 317 the noted claims. 318 319 More specifically, it is noted that the present invention provides a means by which software identification information, 320 such as a user name or software package serial number, is 321 322 extracted from a software package by determining the manner in 323 which executable software modules are organized in the software 324 package wherein the organization comprises a sequence in which 325 components of executable software modules are linked. With the 326 present invention, user identification or the serial number 327 identification, for example, of a particular software package, 328 may be ascertained by the manner in which the software package 329 executable modules are arranged. In one example, the

> APPEAL BRIEF PAGE 11 OF 24

330 identification information is represented in binary format, i.e. a series of "1's" and "0s", and that identification information 331 332 is applied to the sequencing of executable software modules in a 333 software package such that one sequence of executable software modules represents a binary "one" while another sequence of 334 335 executable software modules represents a binary "zero". Thus by 336 determining the relative sequencing of the executable software modules (rather than, for example, accessing a data file), one is 337 338 enabled to re-assemble the binary identification information 339 which is embedded into the software package and determine, for 340 example, the licensed owner of the software package and/or the 341 serial number of the software package. Formats other than a 342 binary format may also be implemented. 343 344 As stated in applicant's specification, "instead of including 345 user information in a separate code segment of the download, the 346 transaction information is included in the structure or 347 organization of the downloaded code or data. Every software 348 package consists of code blocks, data areas, subroutines, methods 349 and other such subcomponents. After a requesting user has 350 furnished the requested information and agreed to the terms of a license agreement, the website will compile and link the various 351 352 components of the software package together to form an executable 353 module which is then downloaded to the user. Normally, when the various components of the software package are linked together to 354 form the executable module, the exact order of placement or 355 356 sequence of the components is usually not critical for the proper

> APPEAL BRIEF PAGE 12 OF 24

execution of the software. In accordance with the present 357 invention however, the ordering and/or sequence of those 358 359 components and/or sub-components is used to encode selected transaction information such that this encoded information can 360 later be extracted from the licensed software and copies of the 361 362 licensed software in the downloaded executable form. Thus, the ordering or sequence of the software package components is used 363 to encode a serial number for the licensed software package as 364 365 well as other useful information. The embedded information can be checked at a later time to determine if the software or data have 366 367 been tampered with or if the usage pattern leads to suspicions 368 about illegal copying. The embedded information can then be used 369 to track down the source of the illegal copies". 370 371 With specific regard to the rejection of claims 1-24 under USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Price in view of Brody, it is 372 noted that the cited Price reference discloses a method and 373 374 system for identifying software revisions from memory images 375 which define a state of software execution at time of system failure in order to determine a cause of the failure. As noted in 376 column 2, lines 50-65 of Price, the identification system 377 378 includes an identification mechanism configured to process memory images from the computer system to determine the size in bytes of 379 executables in the memory image. Identification of the software 380 381 version, according to the cited reference, is achieved by 382 comparing, at each matching offset, the lengths of executable text segments with the executable in the memory image. Price 383 simply does not disclose or even suggest extracting any 384

> APPEAL BRIEF PAGE 13 OF 24

385 information from sequence in which components of said executable 386 software modules are linked as is clearly claimed in the 387 independent claims 1, 16 and 24. Price's system and the system of 388 the present application are incompatible and teach in different 389 directions; the system of Price would be unable to accomplish the 390 objective of the present invention and the system of the present 391 application would be unable to accomplish the objective of Price. 392 393 Brody, which was cited for the first time in the Final Office 394 Action mailed 4/9/2007, discloses protecting software by 395 personalization where the personalization is incorporated into 396 the software build and is delivered to the authorized user with 397 embedded pre-existing personal information. Brody does not, 398 however, disclose, teach or even suggest embedding information by 399 the sequence in which components of executable modules are 400 linked. Instead, Brody discloses the function of producing a 401 separate personal information module to include personal 402 information of the user. This is specifically what is made 403 unnecessary by applicant's invention. By embedding information in 404 the sequence in which components of executable modules are 405 linked, there is no need to create a separate module as is taught by Brody. Thus, it is submitted that rather than suggesting 406 407 applicant's invention, Brody actually teaches away from the 408 applicant's invention, and cannot therefore be said to render the 409 present invention obvious, either taken alone or in a forced and 410 un-suggested combination with Price. 411 412 Moreover, there is no suggestion or reason referenced in either

> APPEAL BRIEF PAGE 14 OF 24

413 Price or Brody for the forced hypothetical combination of Price 414 and Brody, and, in fact, each reference accomplishes a different function in a different manner. Price teaches a method and system 415 416 for identifying software revisions from memory images which 417 define a state of software execution at time of system failure in order to determine a cause of the failure while Brody teaches a 418 system in which personalization is incorporated into the software 419 420 build by creating a separate personalization module and not by 421 the sequence in which executable modules are linked as is 422 disclosed and claimed by applicant. The Brody system does not and 423 cannot not function to identify a state of software execution at . 424 time of system failure in order to determine a cause of the failure as is the objective of Price, and the Price system does 425 426 not and cannot produce a separate personalization module (which 427 has nothing to do with a state of software execution) as is 428 taught by Brody. Thus there is no apparent or referenced reason 429 to suggest that the two applied references could be combined for 430 any reason whatsoever. Further, since neither Price nor Brody discloses or even suggests, inter alia, "extracting said 431 identification information from said organization of said 432 433 executable software modules within said software package, said organization comprising a sequence in which components of said 434 executable software modules are linked", it is submitted that 435 even the combination of Price and Brody fails to render the 436 437 present invention obvious since not even the forced combination 438 of the two references even suggests the extracting function as is 439 clearly set forth in all of the independent claims 1, 16 and 24. 440

> APPEAL BRIEF PAGE 15 OF 24

1 -7 I	Therefore, for the reasons stated above, it is submitted that
142	there is no suggestion or reason in either reference to combine
143	the Price and Brody references. Further, it is submitted that
144	even if there were some suggestion for the hypothetical
145	combination, that, for the reasons stated above, independent
146	claims 1, 16 and 24 are allowable under 35 USC 103(a) over the
147	hypothetical Price/Brody combination. Moreover, since claims 2-15
48	and 17-23 ultimately depend from and include all of the
149	limitations of claim 1 and claim 16, respectively, and include
150	even further limitations as specified in each of the dependent
151	claims, it is also submitted that claims 2-15 and 17-23 are also
152	allowable under 35 USC 103(a) over the hypothetical forced
153	combination of Price and Brody.
154	
155	
156	CONCLUSION
157	
158	For the reasons stated above, applicant urges the Board to
159	conclude that the rejection of claims 1-24 under 35 USC 103(a) as
60	being unpatentable over Price in view of Brody is not well-
61	founded and should be reversed.
62	
63	Please charge IBM Corporation Deposit Account No. 09-0447 in the
64	amount of \$500.00 for submission of a Brief in Support of Appeal.
65	No additional fee or extension of time is believed to be
166	required; however, in the event an additional fee or extension of
167	time is required, please charge the fee, as well as any other fee
68	necessary to further the prosecution of this application, to the

APPEAL BRIEF PAGE 16 OF 24

469	above-identified deposit account.
470	•
471 472	Respectfully submitted,
473 474	Robert V. Wilder
4 7 4 4 7 5	Robert V. Wilder (Tel:512-246-8555)
476	Registration No. 26,352
477	Attorney for Applicant
478	4235 Kingsburg Drive
479	Round Rock Texas 78681

APPEAL BRIEF PAGE 17 OF 24

480 CLAIMS APPENDIX 481 482 1. A method for extracting identification information from a 483 software package, said software package including a number of 484 executable software modules organized in a manner determined by 485 said identification information, said method comprising: 486 487 determining an organization of said executable software modules 488 within said software package; and 489 490 extracting said identification information from said organization 491 of said executable software modules within said software package, 492 said organization comprising a sequence in which components of said executable software modules are linked. 493 494 2. The method as set forth in claim 1 wherein said executable 495 software modules are coupled together in a manner representative 496 of said identification information. 497 498 499 3. The method as set forth in claim 2 wherein said executable 500 software modules are coupled together by compiling said software 501 modules into an executable form of said software package. 502 503 4. The method as set forth in claim 2 wherein said executable software modules are coupled together by linking said executable 504 software modules into an executable form of said software 505 506 package. 507

> APPEAL BRIEF PAGE 18 OF 24

508 5. The method as set forth in claim 1 and further including:

509

- 510 analyzing said software package to determine an organizational
- 511 relationship among said executable software modules; and

512

- 513 determining a binary series from said organizational relationship
- 514 of said executable software modules.

515

- 516 6. The method as set forth in claim 1 and further including
- 517 transmitting said software package over a network to a requesting
- 518 terminal, said requesting terminal being enabled to extract said
- 519 identification information from said organization of said
- 520 executable software modules of said software package.

521

- 522 7. The method as set forth in claim 6 wherein said software
- 523 package is transmitted from a user terminal over an Internet
- 524 network to a server.

525

- 526 8. The method as set forth in claim 6 wherein said user terminal
- 527 is a wireless device.

528

- 9. The method as set forth in claim 6 wherein said user terminal
- 530 is a personal computer system.

531

- 532 10. The method as set forth in claim 1 wherein said
- 533 identification information includes an identification of a user
- 534 of said software package.

535

APPEAL BRIEF PAGE 19 OF 24

- 536 11. The method as set forth in claim 1 wherein said
- 537 identification information includes an identifying number related
- 538 to said software package.

539

- 540 12. The method as set forth in claim 11 wherein said
- 541 identification information further includes an identification of
- 542 a user of said software package.

543

- 544 13. The method as set forth in claim 1 wherein said executable
- 545 software modules are organized in a series of sets of executable
- 546 software modules, each of said sets comprising a predetermined
- 547 number of executable software modules.

548

- 549 14. The method as set forth in claim 13 wherein said series of
- 550 sets corresponds to a binary series, and each of said sets
- 551 comprises first and second executable software modules, said
- 552 binary series being determined in accordance with a sequence of
- 553 said first and second executable software modules within said
- 554 sets of said executable software modules.

555

- 556 15. The method as set forth in claim 13 wherein said series of
- 557 sets is organized in other than a binary format, each of said
- 558 sets comprising a number of said executable software modules
- 559 other than two, said identification information being determined
- 560 according to an order in which said number of executable software
- 561 modules are sequenced within said sets of executable software
- 562 modules.

563

APPEAL BRIEF PAGE 20 OF 24

- 564 16. A medium including machine readable coded indicia, said
- 565 machine readable coded indicia being selectively operable in
- 566 combination with a processing circuit for extracting embedded
- 567 identification information from a software package by determining
- 568 an organization of executable software modules within said
- 569 software package, said organization comprising a sequence in
- 570 which components of said executable software modules are linked,
- 571 wherein relationships between said executable software modules
- 572 are representative of said identification information embedded
- 573 within said software package.

574

- 575. 17. The medium as set forth in claim 16 wherein said medium is an
- 576 optically encoded disk.

577

- 578 18. The medium as set forth in claim 16 wherein said medium is a
- 579 magnetically encoded magnetic diskette.

580

- 581 19. The medium as set forth in claim 16 wherein said software
- 582 package resides on a storage device within a computer device.

583

- 584 20. The medium as set forth in claim 16 wherein software package
- 585 resides on a memory device within a computer device.

586

- 587 21. The medium as set forth in claim 16 wherein said embedded
- 588 identification information includes an identification of a user
- 589 of said software package.

590

591 22. The medium as set forth in claim 16 wherein said embedded

APPEAL BRIEF PAGE 21 OF 24

identification information includes an identifying number related 592 to said software package. 593 594 23. The medium as set forth in claim 22 wherein said embedded 595 596 identification information further includes an identification of 597 a user of said software package. 598 599 24. A network arranged to enable extracting of organizational information of an organization of executable software modules 600 601 within a software package at a user terminal and transferring 602 said organizational information to a server for use in deriving identification information embedded within said organizational 603 information, said network comprising: 604

607 608 a server; and

605 606

609

617

an interconnection between said server and said user terminal,
said user terminal being responsive to a request to upload said
organizational information of said software package for
determining said organizational information and transferring said
organizational information to said server, said organizational
information comprising a sequence in which components of said
executable software modules are linked.

a user terminal at which said software package resides;

APPEAL BRIEF PAGE 22 OF 24

618 EVIDENCE APPENDIX

619

620 There are no items in this Appendix.

APPEAL BRIEF PAGE 23 OF 24

RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX

622

623 There are no items in this Appendix.

APPEAL BRIEF PAGE 24 OF 24